IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF ST. CHARLES STATE OF MISSOURI

LEROY HACKETT, JR.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
VS.)	Cause No.:
MENARDS, INC., d/b/a MENARDS)	Division:
SERVE AT: CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service Company Jefferson City, MO 65101))))	
And)	
JOHN DOE HOLD SERVICE)	
Defendants.)	

PETITION

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Leroy Hackett, Jr., by and through his undersigned attorney, and for his Petition against Defendant Menards, Inc. d/b/a Menards (hereinafter referred to as Menards and John Doe) states the following:

- 1. Plaintiff, Leroy Hackett, Jr., is a resident of St. Louis County, Missouri.
- 2. Defendant Menards, Inc is a foreign corporation incorporated under the Laws of the State of Wisconsin doing business as "Menards" under a fictitious name registered with the State of Missouri, with its registered agent for receipt of service of process located at 221 Boliver Street, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.

Case: 4:20-cv-00429-MTS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 03/20/20 Page: 2 of 19 PageID #: 8

- 3. The Menards home improvement store located at 151 Spencer Road, St. Charles County, Missouri 63376.
- 4. Defendant, John Doe, is an employee of Defendant Menards.
- 5. On or about May 20, 2016, Plaintiff was a business invitee on Defendant Menards premises located at 151 Spencer Road, St. Peters, Missouri, when while delivering products to defendant Menards, an employee of Defendant Menards, Defendant John Doe, lifted several pallets of potted flowers with a forklift and failed to lift them with reasonable caution and/or expertise, permitted the pallets to fall upon Plaintiff causing him serious injury and damage.

COUNT I DEFENDANT MENARDS NEGLIGENCE

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Leroy Hackett, Jr., by and through his undersigned attorney, and for Count III of his Cause of Action against Defendant, Menards, states to the Court as follows:

- 6. Plaintiff restates and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 5 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 7. On or about May 20, 2016, Defendant, Menards by and through its agents, servants and employees created a dangerous condition by attempting to remove a rack of pallets of potted flowers from Plaintiff's employer's trailer.
- 8. Defendant Menards by and through its agents, servants and employee knew, or by using ordinary care should have known, that lifting the entire rack of pallets

Case: 4:20-cv-00429-MTS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 03/20/20 Page: 3 of 19 PageID #: 9

- of potted flowers was dangerous and created a potential hazard in an area frequented by customers and other persons.
- 9. Defendant, Menards, by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, failed to exercise ordinary care and was therefore negligent in one or more of the follow respects:
 - Defendant Menards failed to barricade the trailer area where the racks of pallets were located prior to removal from the trailer;
 - Defendant Menards failed to provide proper, safe and clear egress for persons, including, Plaintiff who delivers products to Defendant Menards;
 - c. Defendant Menards failed to warn Plaintiff of the danger of racks of pallets failing from its forklift;
 - d. Defendant Menards failed to exercise reasonable care for the safety of Plaintiff.
- 10. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's Menard's carelessness and negligence as detailed above, Plaintiff was injured and damaged. Plaintiff sustained physical injuries to his skull, brain, neck and body as a whole; Plaintiff required medical treatment and will require medical treatment in the future.
- 11. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Menards carelessness and negligence as detailed above, Plaintiff incurred medical treatment expenses, will incur medical treatment expense in the future; Plaintiff's ability to work; labor and enjoy life has been and will be impaired, all to his detriment and damage.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays this Court to enter judgment against Defendant

Menards in an amount that is fair and reasonable in excess of Twenty-Five Thousand

Dollars (\$25,000), together with his costs incurred and expended, and for further relief as
the Court deems just and proper in the premises.

COUNT II DEFENDANT MENARDS PREMISES LIABILITY

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Leroy Hackett, Jr., by and through his undersigned attorney, and for his Count II of his Cause of Action against Defendant, Menards, states to the Court as follows:

- 12. Plaintiff restates and incorporates by referenced the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 5 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 13. At all times relevant herein, Defendant Menards owned, operated, managed, maintained and/or controlled the home improvement store located at 561 Spencer, St. Peters, State of Missouri.
- 14. On May 20, 2016, Plaintiff was an invitee on "the premises".
- 15. On May 20, 2016, Defendant Menards employee was removing racks of pallets of potted flowers from Plaintiff's employer's trailer.
- 16. Defendant Menards knew or by using ordinary care could have known that the removal of the racks of pallets from the trailer, was dangerous in that the forklift could lose control of said pallets, the forklift could collide with someone, or run over someone and was, therefore, careless and negligent in one or more of the following respects:

Case: 4:20-cv-00429-MTS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 03/20/20 Page: 5 of 19 PageID #: 11

- a. Defendant Menards failed to barricade the trailer area where the racks of pallets of potted flowers were located prior to removal from the trailer;
- Defendant Menards failed to provide proper, safe and clear egress for persons, including, Plaintiff who delivers products to Defendant Menards;
- c. Defendant Menards failed to warn Plaintiff of the danger of racks of pallets of potted flowers failing from its forklift;
- d. Defendant Menards failed to exercise reasonable care for the safety of Plaintiff.
- 17. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's Menard's carelessness and negligence as detailed above, Plaintiff was injured and damaged. Plaintiff sustained physical injuries to his skull, brain, neck and body as a whole; Plaintiff required medical treatment and will require medical treatment in the future.
- 18. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Menards carelessness and negligence as detailed above, Plaintiff incurred medical treatment expenses, will incur medical treatment expense in the future; Plaintiff's ability to work; labor and enjoy life has been and will be impaired, all to his detriment and damage.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays this Court to enter judgment against Menards in an amount that is fair and reasonable in excess of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000), together with his costs incurred and expended, and for further relief as the Court deems just and proper in the premises.

Case: 4:20-cv-00429-MTS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 03/20/20 Page: 6 of 19 PageID #: 12

COUNT III DEFENDANT MENARDS RES IPSA LOQUITUR

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Leroy Hackett, Jr., by and through his attorney, and for Count I of his Cause of Action against Defendant Menards, states to the Court as follows:

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 5, states above by referenced as if fully set forth herein.
- 20. At all times mentioned in this Petition, Defendant Menards owned, operated, maintained and/or controlled the premises located at 151 Spencer Road, St. Peters, Missouri 65101, hereinafter referred to as the "The Premises".
- 21. On May 20, 2016, Plaintiff was an invitee on "the premises".
- 22. On May 20, 2016, Plaintiff was working for J.D. Distributing and delivering several racks of pallets of potted flowers to Defendant Menards and Defendant John Doe was utilizing a fork lift to remove said racks form Plaintiff's employer's trailer.
- 23. On May 20, 2016, Defendant John Doe, while utilizing a fork lift to remove several racks of potted flowers form the trailer, was lifting a rack of potted flowers while Plaintiff looked on. Defendant John Doe improperly and/or wrongfully lifted the rack of pallets of potted flowers as the entire rack of pallets fell onto Plaintiff causing injury and damage.
- 24. Defendant Menards on May 20, 2016 had the right to control Defendant John Doe and his use of Defendant's forklift.

- 25. Racks of pallets of potted flowers are not lifted several inches in the air and do not ordinarily fall striking invitees unless those in charge of the forklift use ordinary are.
- 26. At all times relevant herein, the rack of pallets of potted flowers was under the management and control of Defendant Menards.
- 27. Defendant Menards possessed supervisor knowledge or means of information as to not cause the racks of potted flowers to fall on Plaintiff.
- 28. From the fact that a rack of pallets of potted flowers fell from a fork lift and the reasonable inference therefrom the falling rack was directly and proximately caused by Defendant Menards negligence and failure to exercise ordinary care.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of such negligence of Defendant Menards,

 Plaintiff sustained injuries and was damaged; Plaintiff sustained injury to his
 head, brain and body as a whole; Plaintiff required medical treatment.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's use of due care, Plaintiff incurred medical treatment expense, will incur treatment expenses in the future;

 Plaintiff's ability to work, labor and enjoy life was a will be impaired, all to his detriment.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays this Court to enter judgment against Defendant

Menards in an amount that is fair and reasonable in excess of Twenty-Five Thousand

Dollars (\$25,000), together with his costs incurred and expended, and for further relief as
the Court deems just and proper in the premises.

COUNT IV DEFENDANT JOHN DOE NEGLIGENCE

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Leroy Hackett, Jr, by and through his undersigned attorney, and for Count IV of his Cause of Action against Defendant, John Doe, states to the Court as follows:

- 31. Plaintiff restates and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 5 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 32. On May 20, 2016, Defendant, John Doe, as an agent, servant and employee of Defendant Menards created a dangerous condition by improperly attempting/performing of lifting a full rack of pallets of potted flowers with a forklift in an area where items falling could strike customers and/or others in the area.
- 33. Defendant, John Doe, as an agent, servant and employee, knew or by using ordinary care should have known that lifting the full rack of pallets of potted plants was dangerous and created a potential hazard in an area frequently by customers and other persons.
- 34. Defendant, John Doe, as an agent, servant and/or employee of Defendant

 Menards failed to use ordinary care and therefore was negligent in one or more
 of the following respects:
 - a. Improperly attempting to lift the entire rack of pallets of the potted flowers;
 - b. Carelessly lifting the entire rack of pallets of potted flowers;

- Failing to barricade the area in which the rack of pallets of potted plants was lifted;
- Failing to warn of the danger of falling items including the entire rack of potted flowers; and,
- e. Failing to exercise reasonable care for the safety of Plaintiff and other invites on the premises.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant John Doe's carelessness and negligence as detailed above, Plaintiff was injured and damaged. Plaintiff sustained physical injuries to his skull, brain, neck and body as a whole; Plaintiff required medical treatment and will require medical treatment in the future.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant John Doe's carelessness and negligence as detailed above, Plaintiff incurred medical treatment expenses, will incur medical treatment expense in the future; Plaintiff's ability to work; labor and enjoy life has been and will be impaired, all to his detriment and damage.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays this Court enter judgment against Defendant, John Doe, in an amount that is fair and reasonable in excess of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000), together with costs herein incurred and expended, and for further relief as the Court deems just and proper in the premises.

Case: 4:20-cv-00429-MTS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 03/20/20 Page: 10 of 19 PageID #: 16

LAW OFFICES OF KEVIN D. WAYMAN

/s/Kevin D. Wayman

KEVIN D. WAYMAN, # 38184

Attorney at Law, LLC
2333 S. Hanley Road, Suite 101

St. Louis, Missouri 63144
(314) 525-7177
(314) 525-7178 Facsimile

Attorney for Plaintiff

kevinwayman@msn.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document was efiled this 17^{th} day of January, 2020. /psd

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

NOTICE OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICES

Pursuant to Missouri Supreme Court Rule 17, the Circuit Court of St. Charles County, Missouri (Eleventh Judicial Circuit) has adopted a local rule to encourage voluntary alternative dispute resolution. The purpose of the rule and the program it establishes is to foster timely, economical, fair and voluntary settlements of lawsuits without delaying or interfering with a party's right to resolve a lawsuit by trial.

This program applies to all civil actions other than cases in the small claims, probate and family court divisions of the Circuit Court, and you are hereby notified that it is available to you in this case.

The program encourages the voluntary early resolution of disputes through mediation. Mediation is an informal non-binding alternative dispute resolution process in which a trained mediator facilitates discussions and negotiations among the parties to help them resolve their dispute. The mediator is impartial and has no authority to render a decision or impose a resolution on the parties. During the course of the mediation, the mediator may meet with the parties together and separately to discuss the dispute, to explore the parties' interests, and to stimulate ideas for resolution of the dispute.

A list of mediators approved by the court and information regarding their qualifications is kept by the Circuit Clerk's Office. If all parties to the suit agree to mediation, within ten days after they have filed the Consent to Mediation Form on the reverse side of this page with the Clerk of the Court, they shall jointly select from that list a mediator who is willing and available to serve. If the parties cannot agree upon the mediator to be selected, the Court will make the selection.

The full text of the Circuit Court's local court rules, including Rule 38 Alternative Dispute Resolution, is available from the Clerk of the Circuit Court or at: http://www.courts.mo.gov/hosted/circuit11/Documents/LOCAL_COURT_RULES.pdf

A copy of this Notice is to be provided by the Clerk of the Circuit Court to each of the parties initiating the suit at the time it is filed, and a copy is to be served on each other party in the suit with the summons and petition served on that party.

STATE OF MISSOURI)
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI) ss.)
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF	ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI
Plaintiff(s), vs.)))
VS.) Cause #
Defendant(s).))
CONSENT TO	O MEDIATION FORM
	in this case, hereby certify that I have discussed the mative Dispute Resolution Program with my client(s)
	helpful in this case and consent to the referral of the similar consents by all other parties in the case.
We do not consent to the referral of	this case to mediation.
	Signature
	(Print Name)
	Attorney for:
	(Party or Parties)
Date:	

Case: 4:20-cv-00429-MTS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 03/20/20 Page: 13 of 19 PageID #: 19

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF ST. CHARLES STATE OF MISSOURI

LEROY HACKETT, JR.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
i idilitili,)	
VS.)	Cause No.: 2011-CC00067
MENARDS, INC., d/b/a)	Division: 7
MENARDS and John Doe,)	
Defendants.)	
Deteridants.)	

NOTICE OF HEARING

Please take Notice that this matter is set for hearing at 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, March 12, 2020 at 9 a.m. in Division 7 of the St. Charles County Court.

LAW OFFICES OF KEVIN D. WAYMAN

/s/ Kevin D. Wayman

KEVIN D. WAYMAN, #38184

Attorney at Law, LLC 2333 S. Hanley Road, Suite 101 St. Louis, Missouri 63144 (314) 525-7177 (314) 525-7178 Facsimile Attorney for Plaintiff kevinwayman@msn.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document was efiled this 27th day of January, 2020. /psd

NOTICE OF ENTRY (SUPREME COURT RULE 74.03)

In The 11th Judicial Circuit Court, St. Charles County, Missouri 300 N 2ND STREET, SAINT CHARLES, MISSOURI 63301

LEROY HACKETT JR V MENARDS INC ET AL

CASE NO: 2011-CC00067

To:

File

FEB 14 2020

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the court duly entered the following:

Filing Date

Description

14-Feb-2020

Judge/Clerk - Note

DUE TO CONFLICTS IN THE COURTS SCHEDULE THIS CAUSE WILL NEED TO BE RESET FOR

3-19-2020 AT 9:00 AM, GB

Hearing Scheduled

Scheduled For: 19-Mar-2020 9:00 AM; DANIEL G PELIKAN; DIVISION 7 COURTROOM; St Charles Circuit

PLAINTIFFS HEARING ON THIS MATTER

Event Location: 300 N 2Nd Street, Saint Charles, Mo

Clerk of Court

Llorgia Branchsick

CC:

JOHN DOE - No Address

LEROY HACKETT, JR.

MENARDS, INC D/B/A MENARDS

ECC:

KEVIN DEAN WAYMAN

Date Printed: 14-Feb-2020

Case: 4:20-cv-00429-MTS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 03/20/20 Page: 15 of 19 PageID #: 21

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF ST. CHARLES STATE OF MISSOURI

LEROY HACKETT, JR.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
VS.)	Cause No.: 2011-CC00067
٧٥.)	Gause No.: 2011 GG00007
MENARDS, INC., d/b/a)	Division: 7
MENARDS and John Doe,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM

COMES NOW, Leroy Hackett, Jr., by and through his undersigned attorney, Kevin D. Wayman, and hereby files the Return of Service on Defendant, Menards, Inc d/b/a Menards, Registered Agent, CBC-Lawyers, Inc. Service Co.

LAW OFFICES OF KEVIN D. WAYMAN

<u>/s/ Kevin D. Wayman</u>

KEVIN D. WAYMAN, # 38184

Attorney at Law, LLC 2333 S. Hanley Road, Suite 101 St. Louis, Missouri 63144 (314) 525-7177 (314) 525-7178 Facsimile Attorney for Plaintiff kevinwayman@msn.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document was efiled this 24th day of February, 2020. /psd

IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: DANIEL G PELIKAN	Case Number: 2011-CC00067	– R
Plaintiff/Petitioner: LEROY HACKETT, JR	Plaintiffs/Petitioner's Attorney/Address KEVIN DEAN WAYMAN 2333 S HANLEY RD STE 101 75. BRENTWOOD, MO 63144	
Defendant/Respondent: MENARDS, INC D/B/A MENARDS Neture of Sult: CC Pers injury-Other	Court Address: 300 N 2nd STREET SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301	SI

RECEIVED

FEB 1 9 2020

COLE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE (Date File Stamp)

The State of Missouri to: MENARDS, INC D/B/A MENARDS

Alias:

GBC-LAWYERS INC SERVICE CO
271 BOLIVAR STREET

TOU are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your gleading upon the attorney oplaintiff/petitioner at the above actives all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fall to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

ST. CHARLES COUNTY

St. CHARLES COUNTY

Lighter Information

Sheriff's or Server's Return

Note to serving officer: Summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after receiving this summons by clock one)

Getter Information

Sheriff's or Server's Return

Note to serving officer: Summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue.

I cartify that I have served the above summons by: (check one)

Getter Information

Sheriff's or Server's Return

Note to serving officer: Summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue.

I cartify that I have served the above summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue.

I cartify that I have served the above summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue.

I cartify that I have served the above summons and a copy of the petition at the defendant/irrespondent.

I deservice on a copporation) delivering a copy of the petition at the defendant/irrespondent.

I (specific or Server

Served at 350 E. High (county/City of St. Louis), MC, on O. 200 200 at 800 CMRs.

Sheriff's Fees, if applicable

Summons

Non Ed.

Sheriff's Fees, if applicable

Summons

Sheriff's Deputy Salery

Supplemental Surcharge

Note of the date of server

Supple

OSCA (05-15) SM30 (SMCC) For Court Use Only: Document id # 29-SMCC-125

CMI Procedure Form No. 1; Rules 54,01 -- 54.05. 54.13, and 54.20; \$06.120 -- 506.140, and 508.150 RSMo





IN THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, STATE OF MISSOURI CIRCUIT JUDGE DIVISION

STATE	OF	MISSOURI	
VC			

STATE OF MISSOURI	MAR 1 9 207	20 CAUSE NUN	MBER: Blanket Order
Vs Blanket Order - 3-19-2020 Docket	CIRCLIT CLE ST. CHARLES IN DIV 7 MEMORANDU OR DER	RK CO. DATE: M —	3-17-2020
COMES NOW DEFENDANT COUNSEL. CAUSE PASSI	NT IN PERSON PRO SE		
CAUSE CONT TO	@9:00 AM/1:30	PM AT REQUES	T OF FOR:
ARRAIGNM	ENT		PLEA
SENTENCIN	IG		SETTING/DISPOSITION
PROB. VIOL	ATION HRG.		STATUS/RESTITUTION
INDIGENCY	HEARING		COUNSEL STATUS
PRE-TRIAL	MOTIONS		JURY / NON JURY TRIAL
DEFENDANT IS ORDERI	ED TO APPEAR.	PRO	BATION SUSPENDED
DEFENDANT FAILS TO OF PROBATION OFFICE CASH ONLY/ BY DEFT OF THE CASH ONLY ONLY OF THE CASH ONLY ONLY OF THE CASH ONLY ONLY OF THE CASH ONLY ONLY ONLY ONLY ONLY ONLY ONLY ONLY	R. CAPIAȘ ISSUED. B		FILED-AND/OR- REQUEST
DEFT HAVING DISPOSE	D OF CHARGES IS OR	DERED RELEAS	EED FROM CUSTODY
In Compliance Supreme Court or Order Issued by Judicial Circuit, 3-19-2020 to 4 In Correct Curs.	F. Mo and	ling Ju	dministrative dge of the 11th
SO CREDENED:			ATTORNEY
CRCLK100-10/07			ATTORNEY
v		-	PRO-SE DEFT

Case: 4:20-cv-00429-MTS Doc. #: caselnet Fit ect color for the color for the case is a case in the case in the case in the case is a case in the case in the case in the case is a case in the case in 3/20/2020



Search for Cases by: Select Search Method...

Judicial Links

eFiling

Help

| Contact Us | Print

GrantedPublicAccess Logoff GREGORY COOK

JR 2011-CC00067 - LEROY HACKETT **MENARDS** E-CASE

Case Header Parties & Attorneys

Docket Entries

Charges, Judgments & Sentences

Service Information

Filings Duē

Scheduled Hearings & Trials

Civil Judgments

Display Options:

Garnishments/ Execution

▼

This information is provided as a service and is not considered an official court record.

Click here to eFile on Case

Sort Date Entries:

Descendina

Ascending

All Entries

Click here to Respond to Selected Documents

03/17/2020 Hearing Scheduled

Scheduled For: 04/30/2020; 9:00 AM; DANIEL G PELIKAN; St Charles Circuit Div

Order for Continuance

IN COMPLIANCE TO THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE SUPREME COURT AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER ISSUED BY THE PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT. ALL MATTERS WILL BE CONT FROM 3-19-2020 TO 4-30-2020 AT 9:00 AM. DGP/gdb

Filed By: DANIEL G PELIKAN

Hearing Continued/Rescheduled

IN COMPLIANCE TO THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE SUPREME COURT AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER ISSUED BY THE PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ALL MATTERS WILL BE CONT FROM 3-19-2020 TO 4-30-2020 AT 9:00 AM. GB; Continuance Reason - DCTCN Docket Cancelled; Continuance Requestor - COURT Court

Hearing Continued From: 03/19/2020; 9:00 AM Hearing

02/24/2020 Agent Served

Document ID - 20-SMCC-125; Served To - MENARDS, INC D/B/A MENARDS; Server - COLE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; Served Date - 20-FEB-20; Served Time - 08:00:00; Service Type - Sheriff Department; Reason Description - Served; Service Text - SERVED L.S., CSC LAWYERS DESIGNEE.

Notice of Service

Plaintiffs Memorandum of Return of Service on CSC Lawyers Inc Service Co for Menards; Affidavit of Service of Summons upon CSC Lawyers Inc Service Co for Menards Inc.

Filed By: KEVIN DEAN WAYMAN On Behalf Of: LEROY HACKETT, JR.

02/14/2020 Notice

NOTICE OF HEARING SENT. GB

Hearing Scheduled

Associated Entries: 03/17/2020 - Hearing Continued/Rescheduled

Scheduled For: 03/19/2020; 9:00 AM; DANIEL G PELIKAN; St Charles Circuit Div

Hearing Continued/Rescheduled

Hearing Continued From: 03/12/2020; 9:00 AM Hearing

Judge/Clerk - Note

DUE TO CONFLICTS IN THE COURTS SCHEDULE THIS CAUSE WILL NEED TO BE RESET FOR 3-19-2020 AT 9:00 AM. GB

01/27/2020 Hearing Scheduled

Filed By: KEVIN DEAN WAYMAN

Case.net Version 5.14.0.17 Return to Top of Page Released 11/25/2019